

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

DIANA SAIKI,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:22-CV-849-RSM-DWC

V.

MCG HEALTH LLC,

**Defendant.**

## **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

CYNTHIA STRECKER,

**Plaintiff,**

V.

MCG HEALTH LLC.

**Defendant.**

Case No. 2:22-cv-862-RSM-DWC

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LEO THORBECKE and MARJORITA DEAN, Plaintiff, v. MCG HEALTH LLC, Defendant.	Case No. 2:22-cv-870-RSM-DWC
7	LINDA BOOTH, MARY NAPIER, and CANDACE DAUGHERTY, Plaintiff, v. MCG HEALTH LLC, Defendant.	Case No. 2:22-cv-00879-RSM-DWC
12	EVA DRESCH, Plaintiff, v. MCG HEALTH LLC, Defendant.	Case No. 2:22-cv-892-RSM-DWC
18	LINDA CRAWFORD and MICHAEL PRICE, Plaintiff, v. MCG HEALTH LLC, Defendant.	Case No. 2:22-cv-00894-RSM-DWC

1	JAY TAYLOR and SHELLEY TAYLOR, 2 Plaintiff, 3 v. 4 MCG HEALTH LLC, 5 Defendant.	Case No. 2:22-cv-00925-RSM-DWC
6	JULIE MACK, JOANNE MULLINS, and 7 INGRID COX, 8 Plaintiff, 9 v. 10 MCG HEALTH LLC, 11 Defendant.	Case No. 2:22-cv-00935-RSM-DWC
12	KENNETH HENSLEY, as legal guardian of 13 R.H., 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. 16 MCG HEALTH LLC, 17 Defendant.	Case No. 2:22-cv-00978-RSM-DWC

18 The above captioned cases are currently pending before this Court.  
19

20 On June 22, 2022 Plaintiff's counsel in *Saiki v. MCG Health, LLC*, No. 2:22-cv-00849-  
21 DWC (W.D. Wash., filed June 16, 2022) filed a motion to consolidate three of the above  
22 captioned cases. Dkt. 5. However, since that time several additional cases have been filed.  
23 Therefore, Saiki's Motion to Consolidate (Dkt. 5) is denied without prejudice.  
24

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42 provides the Court discretion to consolidate actions that involve a common question of law or fact. The Court's review reveals that Plaintiffs in the above captioned cases have filed virtually identical Complaints against Defendant MCG Health LLC, each alleging similar causes of action predicated on parallel facts.

Under the circumstances, consolidation appears to be in the interest of judicial efficiency and appropriate to promote the fair and just resolution of these disputes. Accordingly, all parties are hereby ORDERED to meet-and-confer in accordance with Local Civil Rule 42(b) and either file a stipulation to consolidate or file a response of no more than five pages (excluding supporting declarations) SHOWING CAUSE why the above captioned cases should not be consolidated (without prejudice to later bifurcation, as appropriate) on or before August 22, 2022. Either way, all parties must employ the above caption and file their stipulation or response in each of the above captioned cases.

At this time the Court will not hear argument regarding appointment of interim lead counsel or any other matters preliminary to a motion for class certification.

The Clerk is directed to file this order in all above captioned cases and to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2022.

David W. Christel  
David W. Christel  
United States Magistrate Judge